

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WAYMO LLC,  
Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [17-cv-00939-WHA](#) (JSC)

**ORDER REGARDING WAYMO'S  
IDENTIFICATION OF DOCUMENTS  
FOR THE COURT'S IN CAMERA  
REVIEW**

This Court ordered Uber to provide the Court for its *in camera* review 50 pages Waymo identified as potentially improperly redacted on attorney-client or attorney work-product grounds. (Dkt. No. 2454.) The Special Master has now brought to the Court's attention a dispute among the parties as to whether the pages Waymo identifies must be from documents logged or produced as part of the supplemental post-November 2017 discovery. They must. Waymo's December 29, 2017 letter brief was focused exclusively on Uber's supplemental production and logs; Waymo did not make any argument as to why this Court should review *in camera* documents long ago produced in this lawsuit and this Court did not rule that it would review such documents.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: January 8, 2018



JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY  
United States Magistrate Judge